# **Coefficient Inequalities of Multivalent Analytic Function**

Sunita Kumawat<sup>a</sup>, Naina Gupta<sup>b</sup> and G. N. Purohit<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a,b</sup>Department ofApplied Mathematics, Amity School of Applied Sciences. Amity University Gurgaon <sup>c</sup>Bansthali University Rajasthan, Rajasthan E-mail: ksunita86@gmail.com

**Abstract**—The main aim of the present paper is to obtain a new coefficient inequalities of p-valent functions by introducing and studying some new properties of unified  $classW_N^{\lambda}(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  involving the Ruschweyh Derivative and Hadamard Products.

Subject class (2010): Primary 26A33, Secondary 30C45 Keywords: | Convex | Starlike | p-valently Analytic Functions | Hadamard Product |Ruschweyh Derivative|

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let A(1) denote the class of functions of the form [12,13,14],

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \qquad ... (1.1)$$

Which are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : z \in C \& |z| < 1\}$ . Further, by **S** we shall denote the class of all functions in A(1) which are univalent in U. A function f(z) belonging to A(1) is said to be starlike in U if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0 \ \forall \ z \in U$$
(1.2)

We denote by  $S^*$  the subclass of A(1) consisting of functions which are starlike in U. Also, a function f(z) belonging to A(1) is said to be convex in U if it satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{zf^{''}(z)}{f^{'}(z)}\right) > 0 \ \forall \ z \in U$$
(1.3)

We denote by **C** the subclass of A(1) consisting of functions which are convex in U. A function f(z) in A(1) is said to

be close-to-convex of order  $\delta$  if there exists a function g (z) belonging to  $S^{\bm{*}}$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g'(z)}\right) > \delta \ \forall \ z \in U \qquad \dots (1.4)$$

For some  $\delta$  ( $0 \le \delta < 1$ ), we denote by **K** ( $\delta$ ) the subclass of A(1) consisting of functions which are close-to-convex of order  $\delta$  in U. It is well known that  $C \subset S^* \subset K(0) \subset S$ 

Denote by A(p) the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \qquad \dots (1.5)$$

Which are analytic in the punctured (open) disc  $U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . some properties of some subclasses of A(p) were studied by Aouf et. al [10]. Denote by  $S^*(p,\alpha)$  the class of starlike functions  $f \in A(p)$  of order  $\alpha(0 \le \alpha < p)$  satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha, \ \forall \ z \in U \qquad \dots (1.6)$$

**Preposition:** A function  $f \in A(p)$  is said to be convex if  $zf' \in S^*(p,\alpha)$  which is known as the Alexander function property i.e.  $f \in C(p,\alpha) \Leftrightarrow zf' \in S^*(p,\alpha)$ .

Now let  $C(p,\alpha)$  be the class of convex functions  $f \in A(p)$  of order  $\alpha(0 \le \alpha < p)$  such that  $zf' \in S^*(p,\alpha)$ . A function  $f \in A(1)$  is said to be in the class of  $\beta$  -uniformly convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $\beta - UCV(\alpha)$  [4, 5] if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}-\alpha\right\} \ge \beta \left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}-1\right|, \ \forall \ z \in U$$

and is said to be in a corresponding subclass of  $\beta - UCV(\alpha)$ , denoted by  $\beta - S_p(\alpha)$  if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha\right\} \ge \beta \left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1\right|, \text{ where } -1 \le \alpha \le 1 \text{ and } z \in U$$

The class of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions has been extensively studied by Goodman[1,2], Ma and Minda[3]. In fact the class of uniformly  $\beta$ -starlike functions was introduced by Kanas and Wisniowski[9], and for which it can be generalised to  $\beta - S_p(\alpha)$ , the class of uniformly  $\beta$ -starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ .

If 
$$f$$
 of the form (1.5) and  $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k}$  are two

functions in A(p), then the Convolution of f and g is denoted by  $f^*g$  and given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} b_{p+k} z^{p+k}.$$
 (1.7)

Ruschweyh [7], using the convolution techniques, introduced and studied an important subclass of A(1) the class of prestarlike function of order  $\alpha$ , which denoted by  $R(\alpha)$ . Thus  $f \in A(1)$  is said to be prestarlike functions of order  $\alpha (0 \le \alpha < 1)$  if  $f * S_{\alpha} \in S^*(\alpha)$ 

Where 
$$S_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n(\alpha) z^n$$
 and

 $c_n(\alpha) = \frac{\prod_{j=2}^{n} (j-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!} \quad n \in N := \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  we note that  $R(0) = C(0) \text{ and } R\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = S^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$  Juneja et. al [8]

**Ruschweyh derivative of order**  $\alpha$  in A(1), which preserves the valences of the function is the convolution of two functions  $\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}}$  and  $f_1(z)$  where  $f_1(z)$  is analytic and

univalent in A(1),

Denoted by  $D^{\alpha}(f_1(z))$  and defined by

$$D^{\alpha}(f_{1}(z)) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}} * f_{1}(z) \quad \forall f_{1}(z) \in A(1) \text{ and } \alpha \ge -1$$

Now taking  $D^{\alpha+1}(f_1(z)) = \phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \eta_n z^n$ 

And 
$$D^{\alpha}(f_1(z)) = \psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n \quad \forall \quad f_1(z) \in A(1) \text{ and } \alpha$$
  
  $\geq -1$ 

Define the family  $S(\phi, \psi, \delta)$  consisting of function  $f_1(z) \in A(1)$  so that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)^*D^{\alpha+1}(f_1(z))}{f(z)^*D^{\alpha}(f_1(z))}\right) > \delta \ \forall \ z \in U$$

Such that  $f(z) * D^{\alpha}(f_1(z)) = f(z) * \psi(z) \neq 0$ ,  $\eta_n \ge 0$ and  $\eta_n \ge \gamma_n \forall (n \ge 2)$ .

We let  $S(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  [10] denote the set of all functions in A(p) for which

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{f(z)^*\phi(z)}{f(z)^*\psi(z)}-p\right)\right\} > \beta \left|\frac{1}{\eta}\left(\frac{f(z)^*\phi(z)}{f(z)^*\psi(z)}-p\right)\right| \text{ where } \eta$$
  
is positive real number and  $\beta \ge 0$ .

For suitable choices of  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and having  $\eta = p - \alpha$ , we easily obtain the various subclasses of A(p). For example S

$$\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}; p-\alpha, 0\right) = S^*(p,\alpha), \qquad S\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; p-\alpha, 0\right) = C(p,\alpha), \qquad S\left(\frac{z+(1-2\alpha)z^2}{(1-z)^{3-2\alpha}}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\alpha}}; p-\alpha, 0\right) = R(p,\alpha), \qquad S\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}; p-\alpha, \beta\right) = \beta - S_p(p,\alpha), \text{ and Furthermore, note that when } p = 1 \text{ we obtain } S\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}; 1-\alpha, 0\right) = S^*(\alpha), \\S\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; 1-\alpha, 0\right) = C(\alpha), \qquad S\left(\frac{z+(1-2\alpha)z^2}{(1-z)^{3-2\alpha}}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\alpha}}; 1-\alpha, 0\right) = R(\alpha), \\S\left(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}; 1-\alpha, \beta\right) = \beta - S_p(\alpha), \qquad \text{and} \qquad S\left(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; 1-\alpha, \beta\right) = \beta - UCV(\alpha).$$

Also denote by N(p) [6] the subclass of A(p) consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}.$$
 (1.8)

Now let us write  $S_N(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p) = S(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p) \cap N(p)$  i.e. the class of functions consisting of negative coefficients.

### 2. COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES

In this paper we will study the properties of unified presentation of functions  $f \in N(p)$  belongs to  $W_N^{\lambda}(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  i.e. a unification of subclass of multivalent starlike and subclass of multivalent convex kind of functions. First of all, we state the following result for the purpose of the study.

**Lemma 2.1**: A function 
$$f$$
 defined by (1.8) is in the class  
 $S_N(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  if and only if  
 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\beta)\eta_{p+k} - \{p(1-\beta) - \eta\}\gamma_{p+k}}{\eta - (1-\beta)(p-1)} |a_{p+k}| \le 1$  (2.1)

Where  $\eta$  is positive real number,  $\beta \ge 0$ ,  $\eta_{p+k} \ge 0$ ,  $\gamma_{p+k} \ge 0$ 

and  $\eta_{p+k} \geq \gamma_{p+k}, \forall k \geq 1$ 

**Proof:** now from the definition of the  $S_N(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  we have

If 
$$f \in S_N(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p) \Rightarrow f \in N(p)$$
 and  
 $f \in S(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ 

$$\Longrightarrow f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}.$$
(2.1.1)

Also from  $f \in S(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ 

it satisfies the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{f(z)^* \phi(z)}{f(z)^* \psi^*(z)} - p\right)\right\} > \beta \left|\frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{f(z)^* \phi(z)}{f(z)^* \psi(z)} - p\right)\right| (2.1.2)$$

Where  $\eta$  is positive real number and  $\beta \ge 0$ . Where

$$\phi(z) = D^{\alpha+1}(f_p(z)) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z^p)^{\alpha+2}} * f_p(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_{k+p} z^{k+p}$$

And

$$\psi(z) = D^{\alpha}(f_{p}(z)) = \frac{z^{p}}{(1-z)^{\alpha+1}} * f_{p}(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k+p} z^{k+p} \quad \forall$$
$$f_{p}(z) \in A(p)$$
$$\text{s.t} \eta_{p+k} \ge 0, \gamma_{p+k} \ge 0 \text{ and } \eta_{p+k} \ge \gamma_{p+k}, \forall k \ge 1$$

$$\beta \left| \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z)^* \phi(z)}{f(z)^* \psi(z)} - p \right) \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z)^* \phi(z)}{f(z)^* \psi(z)} - p \right) \right\} < 1$$

Now we know  $\text{Re}(x) \le |x|$  and  $|x-y| \ge |x|-|y|$  so

$$\frac{\beta}{\eta} \left( \frac{z^{p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \eta_{p+k} z^{p+k}}{z^{p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \gamma_{p+k} z^{p+k}} - p \right) - \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{z^{p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \eta_{p+k} z^{p+k}}{z^{p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \gamma_{p+k} z^{p+k}} - p \right) \right\} \le 1$$

Now  $f(z), \phi(z) \& \psi(z)$  are analytic in unit disk, so

$$\frac{\beta}{\eta} \left( \frac{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{p+k}| \eta_{p+k}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{p+k}| |\gamma_{p+k}} - p \right) - \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{p+k}| |\eta_{p+k}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{p+k}| |\gamma_{p+k}} - p \right) \right\} \le 1$$

$$\left(\frac{\beta}{\eta}-\frac{1}{\eta}\right)\left(\frac{1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{p+k}|\eta_{p+k}}{1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}|a_{p+k}|\gamma_{p+k}}-p\right) \le 1 \text{ Since } \beta \ge 0,$$

$$\left(\beta - 1\right) \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} | \eta_{p+k} - p\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} | \gamma_{p+k}\right)\right) \leq \eta \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} | \gamma_{p+k}\right)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta)\eta_{p+k} - \{p(1 - \beta) - \eta\}\gamma_{p+k}}{\eta - (1 - \beta)(p - 1)} | a_{p+k} | \leq 1$$

Inequality holds.

 $\eta_{n+k} \geq 0, \gamma_{n+k} \geq 0$ 

Where 
$$\beta \ge 0$$
,  $\eta_{p+k} \ge 0$ ,  $\gamma_{p+k} \ge 0$  and  $\eta_{p+k} \ge \gamma_{p+k}$ ,  $\forall$ 

 $k \ge 1$ 

## Conversely,

If given inequality (2.1) hold then by proceeding above in reverse order then we get  $f \in S_N(\phi, \psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ , proves the lemma.

## **3.** ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous referees, whose suggestions helped to improve the presentation of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- W. Goodman, "On uniformly convex functions", Ann. Polon. Math., 56 (1991) pp. 87-92.
- [2] W. Goodman, "On uniformly convex functions and starlike functions", J. Math. Anal. & Appl., 155 (1991), pp. 364-370
- [3] W. Ma and D. Minda, "Uniformly convex functions", Ann. Polon. Math., 57 (1992) pp. 165-175.
- [4] F. Rønning "Geometric properties of subclasses of starlike functions", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118 (1993)pp. 189-196.
- [5] F. Rønning, "On Certain *p*-valently Analytic Functions Involving Hadamard Products", Ann. Polon. Math., 60 (1995), pp. 289-297.
- [6] H. Silverman, "Univalent Functions with Negative Coefficients" Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (1975) pp. 109-116.
- [7] S. Ruschweyh, Comm. Math. Helv., 52 (1977) pp. 497-509.
- [8] Juneja, T. R. Reddy and M. L. Mogra, Soochow J. Math., 11 (1985), pp. 69-81.
- [9] S. Kanas and A. Wisniowski, On Certain *p*-valently Analytic Functions Involving Hadamard Products ev. Roumanie Math. Pures Appl. 45 (2000) 647-657.
- [10] M. K. Aouf, M. Hossen and H. M. Srivastava, Appl. Math. Comp., 39 (2000) pp.39-48
- [11] Duren P.L., "Univalent function", springer -verlag.,(1983), pp. 27-74.
- [12] Prajapat J.K. and Agarwal Ritu, "Some Results on Certain Class of Analytic Functions Based on Differential Subordination", Journal of Korean mathematical Society Volume :50 / 1-10 / 2013
- [13] S. Ponnusamy, S. K. Sahoo and H. Yanagihara, Radius of convexity of partial sums of functions in the close-to-convex family, Nonlinear Analytic. 95 (2014) 218-228.
- [14] Árpád baricz, róbert szász ", the radius of convexity of normalized bessel functions" a nalysis Mathematica 41(3) (2015) 141-151.